1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
27.	Chandigarh	0.06	5.75	0.45	5.75	0.51	5.75
28.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	0.30	17.57	0.03	13.52	0.33	17.14
29.	Daman & Diu	0.01	1.35	0.05	7.52	0.06	4.44
30.	Delhi	0.07	0.40	11.42	9.42	11.49	8.23
31.	Lakshadweep	0.03	9.38	0.08	20.27	0.11	15.60
32.	Pondicherry	0.64	20.55	1.77	22.11	2.41	21.67
	ALL INDIA	1932.43	27.09	670.07	23.62	2602.50	26.10

NOTE

1. Poverty Ratio of Assam is used for Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya Mizoram, Manipur, Nagaland and Tripura.
2. Poverty Line of Maharashtra and expenditure distribution of Goa is used to estimate poverty ratio of Goa.
3. Poverty Line of Himachal Pradesh and expenditure distribution of Jammu & Kashmir is used to estimate poverty ratio of Jammu & Kashmir.
4. Poverty Ratio of Tamil Nadu is used for Pondicherry and A & N Island.
5. Urban Poverty Ratio of Punjab used for both rural and urban poverty of Chandigarh.
6. Poverty Line of Maharashtra and expenditure distribution of Dadra & Nagar Haveli is used to estimate poverty ratio of Dadra & Nagar Haveli.
7. Poverty Ratio of Goa is used for Daman & Diu.
8. Poverty Ratio of Kerala is used for Lakshadweep.

Schemes/projects from Uttar Pradesh

†1986. SHRI BHAGWATI SINGH: Will the Minister of SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government of Uttar Pradesh had submitted five schemes/projects of science and technology sector for approval of Central Government during the last year;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) whether it is also a fact that all the five schemes of the State are pending with Central Government for clearance; and

(d) if so, the steps taken or being taken by Government to expedite clearance of all the five schemes of the State?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI KAPIL SIBAL): (a) Yes, Sir. Council of Science and Technology, UP, Department of Science and Technology, Uttar Pradesh had submitted five schemes/projects of science & technology sector for approval of Central Government in the Department of Bio-Technology.

(b) These five proposals relate to (i) Demonstration & transfer of technology for bio-pesticides; (ii) Display, demonstration & training on BGA Bio-fertilizer technology; (iii) Establishment of Center for Bio-fertilisers, (iv) Establishment of Center of plant tissue culture and (v) demonstration and training on cultivation and processing of medicinal and aromatic plants.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Promoting of scientific research

1987. SHRI C. PERUMAL: Will the Minister of SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is proposed to bring framework legislation to promote scientific research;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) by when the legislation would be brought forward?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI KAPIL SIBAL): (a) to (c) Discussions are taking place whether or not there is a need to have legislation to promote scientific research in the country.

Research in Biotechnology

1988. SHRIMATI N.P. DURGA: Will the Minister of SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Department of Biotechnology has selected new areas for research in the field of Biotechnology;